arXiv:1409.4065v1 [math.GT] 14 Sep 2014

Catalan States of Lattice Crossing

Mieczyslaw K. Dabkowski Changsong Li Jozef H. Przytycki

Abstract

For a Lattice crossing $L(m,n)$ we show which Catalan connection between $2(m+n)$ points on boundary of $m \times n$ rectangle P can be realized as a Kauffman state and we give an explicit formula for the number of such Catalan connections. For the case of a Catalan connection with no arc starting and ending on the same side of the tangle, we find a closed formula for its coefficient in the Relative Kauffman Bracket Skein Module of $P \times I$.

Keywords: Knot, Link, Kauffman Bracket, Catalan Tangles

Mathematics Subject Classification 2000: Primary 57M99; Secondary 55N, 20D

1 Introduction

We consider Relative Kauffman Bracket Skein Module[1] ($RKBSM$) of $P \times I$, where P is $m \times n$ parallelogram with $2(m+n)$ points on the boundary arranged as it is shown in Figure 1.1, and $(m+n)$-tangle $L(m,n)$ shown in Figure 1.2 that we will refer to as $m \times n$-*lattice crossing*. If $\mathfrak{Cat}_{m,n}$ denotes the set of all Catalan states for P (crossingless connections between boundary points) then $L(m,n)$ in $RKBSM$ of $P \times I$ can be uniquely written in the form $L(m,n) = \sum_{C \in \mathfrak{Cat}_{m,n}} r(C)\, C$, where $r(C) \in \mathbb{Z}\left[A^{\pm 1}\right]$.



Figure 1.1



Figure 1.2



Figure 1.3

Let $\mathcal{S}_{m,n}$ be the set of all Kauffman states (choices of positive or negative markers for all crossings as shown in Figure 1.3), after applying skein relations we have in $RKBSM$ of $P \times I$:

$$L(m,n) = \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}_{m.n}} A^{p(s)-n(s)}(-A^2 - A^{-2})^{|s|} K(s)$$

[1] $RKBSM$ was defined in [6] and it was noted there that, $RKBSM$ for $D^2 \times I$ with $2k$ points on the boundary is a free module with the basis consisting of Catalan connections. For an extensive discussions of theory of Kauffman bracket skein modules see [7].

where, following standard conventions, for each Kauffman state s, $p(s)$ (resp. $n(s)$) denotes the number of crossings with positive (resp. negative) marker, $|s|$ the number of trivial components of the diagram obtained from $L(m,n)$ by smoothing crossings according to markers defined by s, and $K(s)$ denotes the diagram corresponding to state s after removing trivial components. In $RKBSM$ of $P \times I$, we have

$$\sum_{C \in \mathfrak{Cat}_{m,n}} r(C)\, C = \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}_{m.n}} A^{p(s)-n(s)}(-A^2 - A^{-2})^{|s|} K(s),$$

so, for each Catalan state $C \in \mathfrak{Cat}_{m,n}$ define

$$\begin{aligned} R(C) &= \{s \in \mathcal{S}_{m.n} \mid K(s) \approx C\}, \text{ where } \approx \text{ denotes isotopy of diagrams modulo boundary, thus} \\ r(C) &= \begin{cases} 0 & if \quad R(C) = \emptyset \\ \sum_{s \in R(C)} A^{p(s)-n(s)}(-A^2 - A^{-2})^{|s|} & if \quad R(C) \neq \emptyset \end{cases}. \end{aligned}$$

We call C a *realizable Catalan state* if $R(C) \neq \emptyset$ and denote by $\mathfrak{Cat}^R_{m,n}$ the set of all realizable Catalan states. Analogously, we call C a *forbidden Catalan state* if $R(C) = \emptyset$ and denote by $\mathfrak{F}_{m,n} = \mathfrak{Cat}_{m,n} \backslash \mathfrak{Cat}^R_{m,n}$ the set of all forbidden Catalan states. In this paper we will consider the following problems:

1) Which Catalan states C are realizable as Kauffman states of $L(m,n)$?

2) What is an explicit formula for $r(C)$?

3) What is the number of elements of $\mathfrak{Cat}^R_{m,n}$?

In the second section we show which Catalan states C can be realized by Kauffman states of $L(m,n)$. In the third section, for a Catalan state C with no arc that starts and ends on the same "*side*" of $L(m,n)$, we give an explicit formula for the corresponding coefficient $r(C)$. Finally, in the forth section, we obtain an explicit formula for the number of realizable Catalan states.

2 Realizable and Forbidden Catalan States of $L(m,n)$

Enumerating horizontal and vertical lines as in Figure 1.2, let l^h_1, l^h_2, ..., l^h_{m-1} be *horizontal lines* and l^v_1, l^v_2, ..., l^v_{n-1} be *vertical lines*. For a Catalan state $C \in \mathfrak{Cat}_{m,n}$, we let

$$d^h(C) = \max\left\{\#\left(l^h_i \cap C\right) \mid i = 1, 2, \ ..., \ m-1\right\} \text{ and } d^v(C) = \max\left\{\#\left(l^v_i \cap C\right) \mid i = 1, 2, \ ..., \ n-1\right\}$$

where $\#\left(l^\alpha_i \cap C\right)$ is the minimal number of intersections of l^α_i and C for $\alpha = h$ or $\alpha = v$.

Lemma 2.1 *Let $C \in \mathfrak{Cat}_{m,n}$ and assume that $d^h(C) > n$ or $d^v(C) > m$ then $C \in \mathfrak{F}_{m,n}$.*

Proof. Observe that for any Kauffman state s of $L(m,n)$, corresponding diagram $L^s(m,n)$ obtained by smoothing crossings according to choice of markers defined by s cuts horizontal and vertical separating lines precisely n times and m times. Hence for the Catalan state $C(s)$ obtained from $L^s(m,n)$ we must have $d^h(C) \leq n$ and $d^v(C) \leq m$. ■

We say that a Catalan state C satisfies *horizontal-line condition, $H(m,n)$* if $d^h(C) \leq n$ and, correspondingly, C satisfies *vertical-line condition, $V(m,n)$* if $d^v(C) \leq m$. We show that if $C \in \mathfrak{Cat}_{m,n}$ satisfies both conditions $H(m,n)$ and $V(m,n)$ then C is a realizable Catalan state. The following lemma gives sufficient conditions for a Catalan state $C \in \mathfrak{Cat}_{m,n}$ to meet condition $V(m,n)$ or/and $H(m,n)$.

Lemma 2.2 *For Catalan state C,*

(1) *if C has no returns on the top horizontal boundary then C satisfies condition $V(m,n)$;*

(2) *if C, in addition, has no returns on the right side, then C satisfies both $H(m,n)$ and $V(m,n)$.*

Proof. For (1), we argue by case distinction. Let $x_1, ..., x_n$ be boundary points on top of C, and ℓ be a separating line between x_k and x_{k+1} (see Figure 2.1).

If x_{k+i}th connection cuts ℓ for some $1 \leq i \leq n-k$, then all $x_1, ..., x_k$ connections do not cut ℓ. Since there are $m+2k$ points on the right of ℓ and among them at least $2k$ points yield connections in C without cutting ℓ, it follows that there are at most m points on the right of ℓ which can form connections with points on the left of ℓ. Using similar reasoning, if $1 \leq i \leq k$ then at most m points on the left of ℓ can form connections with points on the right of ℓ. Therefore, statement (1) follows from basic counting.

If each x_i connection does not cut ℓ for all $i = 1, 2, ..., n$, we observe that, in particular, $x_1, ..., x_k$ connections do not cut ℓ. Thus, as in the previous case, we argue that at most m points on the left of ℓ can be connected with points on the right of ℓ.

Statement (2), follows directly from (1), since (1) can be applied to any side of the rectangle. ■



Figure 2.1



Figure 2.2

For two Catalan states $C_1 \in \mathfrak{Cat}_{m_1,n}$ and $C_2 \in \mathfrak{Cat}_{m_2,n}$ with no returns on the bottom of the first and the top for the second one defines an operation $*_v$ of vertical composition of Catalan states (see Figure 2.2). The operation $*_v$ allows us to define Catalan state $C = C_1 *_v C_2$, for which the number of intersections with its vertical lines can easily be counted provided that the number of intersections with vertical lines of the factor states is known. Analogously, one defines horizontal composition $*_h$ of Catalan states.

Lemma 2.3 *Let $C_1 \in \mathfrak{Cat}_{m_1,n}$ and $C_2 \in \mathfrak{Cat}_{m_2,n}$ and assume that bottom of C_1 and top of C_2 are no return sides respectively (see Figure 2.2). Then the vertical composition $C = C_1 *_v C_2$ of states C_1 and C_2 is a Catalan state with the following properties*:

(1) *Every vertical line ℓ intersects C at most $m = m_1 + m_2$ times and every horizontal line ℓ' either in C_1 or C_2 cuts C the same number of times as in C_1 or C_2 respectively.*

(2) *Catalan states C_1 and C_2 satisfy conditions $H(m_i, n)$ and $V(m_i, n)$, for $i = 1, 2$, respectively if and only if C satisfies conditions $H(m,n)$ and $V(m,n)$.*

Proof. Since C_1 and C_2 have no return sides on the bottom and the top respectively, vertical composition $C = C_1 *_v C_2$ of states C_1 and C_2 (as it is shown in Figure 2.2) is a Catalan state. Furthermore, if ℓ is a vertical line in C, then from Lemma 2.2(1) it follows that the number of times that ℓ cuts C is bounded above by the number $m_1 + m_2 = m$. Finally, if ℓ' is a horizontal line either in C_1 or C_2 then ℓ' is also a separating line in the composed state C and, since C_1 and C_2 are composed along sides with no returns, the number of times ℓ' cuts C is identical as the number of times ℓ' cuts either C_1 or C_2. This finishes our proof of (1).

Statement (2) follows from (1). ■

For Catalan states C satisfying conditions $H(m,n)$ and $V(m,n)$, we apply induction on $m+n$ to prove that such states could be obtained as Kauffman states of $L(m,n)$. An important step in our inductive proof is an observation that Catalan states with $d^h(C)=n$ (or $d^v(C)=m$) could be obtained as a vertical (horizontal) composition of Catalan states.

Lemma 2.4 (Split Lemma) *Let $C \in \mathfrak{Cat}_{m,n}$ and assume that C satisfies conditions $H(m,n)$ and $V(m,n)$, and $d^h(C)=n$. Then*

(1) *$C = C_1 *_v C_2$, where $C_1 \in \mathfrak{Cat}_{m_1,n}$, $C_2 \in \mathfrak{Cat}_{m_2,n}$, $m_1+m_2=m$, and*

(2) *Catalan states C_1 and C_2 satisfies conditions $H(m_i,n)$ and $V(m_i,n)$, for $i=1,2$, respectively.*

Proof. Since $d^h(C)=n$, there is a horizontal separating line ℓ that cuts C precisely n times. Splitting C along ℓ yields a pair of Catalan states $C_1 \in \mathfrak{Cat}_{m_1,n}$ and $C_2 \in \mathfrak{Cat}_{m_2,n}$, where $m_1+m_2=m$. Since n is the number of intersections of ℓ with Catalan state C, it follows that C_1 has the bottom side with no returns and C_2 has the top side with no returns. Therefore, state C can be expressed as $C = C_1 *_v C_2$. Since C satisfies conditions $H(m,n)$ and $V(m,n)$, it follows from Lemma 2.3(2) that each C_i satisfies conditions $H(m_i,n)$ and $V(m_i,n)$, for $i=1,2$, respectively. ■

Obviously, the statement of *Split Lemma* holds when $d^v(C)=m$. In such a case, $C = C_1 *_h C_2$, where $C_1 \in \mathfrak{Cat}_{m,n_1}$, $C_2 \in \mathfrak{Cat}_{m,n_2}$, $n_1+n_2=n$, and each C_i satisfies conditions $H(m,n_i)$ and $V(m,n_i)$, for $i=1,2$, respectively. Now, we state and prove the main result for this section.

Theorem 2.5 *Assume that C satisfies conditions $H(m,n)$ and $V(m,n)$, then C can be obtained as a Kauffman state of $L(m,n)$.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on $m+n$. Clearly, statement is vacuously true for $m=n=0$, and it is obvious when $m=n=1$. We assume that statement is true for all pairs (m,n) such that $m,\ n>0$ and $m+n \le k$, where $k \ge 2$. We show that statement is true for $m,\ n>0$ and $m+n=k+1$ and WLOG we can assume $m \ge 2$.
Let $C \in \mathfrak{Cat}_{m,n}$ and C satisfies conditions $H(m,n)$ and $V(m,n)$. We have the following cases

1) $d^h(C)=n$ or $d^v(C)=m$

If $d^h(C)=n$, then applying *Split Lemma,* we have $C = C_1 *_v C_2$, where $C_1 \in \mathfrak{Cat}_{m_1,n}$, $C_2 \in \mathfrak{Cat}_{m_2,n}$ $(m_1+m_2=m)$, and each C_i satisfies conditions $H(m_i,n)$ and $V(m_i,n)$, for $i=1,\ 2$. Since $m_i+n \le k$, applying induction hypothesis, we obtain corresponding Kauffman states s_1 and s_2 for $L(m_1,n)$ and $L(m_2,n)$ respectively such that $C_1 \approx K(s_1)$ and $C_2 \approx K(s_2)$. Let s be Kauffman state obtained by putting markers according to s_1 in the first m_1 rows of $L(m,n)$ and according to s_2 in the remaining $m-m_1=m_2$ rows of $L(m,n)$. Clearly, for Kauffman state s, we have $C \approx K(s)$.

Proof in the case when $d^v(C)=m$ is analogous.

2) $d^h(C)<n$ and $d^v(C)<m$, that is, each horizontal line cuts C less than n times and each vertical line cuts C less than m times.

In this case we will construct a Kauffman state s such that $C \approx K(s)$ using an algorithm given in the following lemma.

Lemma 2.6 *Assume that $d^h(C)<n$ and $d^v(C)<m$, then C can be obtained as a Kauffman state of $L(m,n)$.*

Proof. By assumption, each horizontal line cuts C less than n times and each vertical line cuts C less than m times, so the top boundary side of C must have at least one return (see Figure 2.3 (left)), or at least one corner connection (see Figure 2.3 (right)). If C has no corner connection, we start construction by defining Kauffman state s_1 (first row of desired Kauffman state s) for the returning connection of C



Figure 2.3



Figure 2.4

between pair of points x_i and x_{i+1} on the top of C with minimal index i, $i = 1, 2, ..., n-1$ as shown in Figure 2.4 (left). If there is a corner connection, we take as Kauffman state s_1 (first row of Kauffman state s) the state shown in Figure 2.4 (right).

Using isotopy, we deform state C into an equivalent state $\widetilde{C}$ with top as shown in Figure 2.4 and, for which all remaining connections form $((m-1)+n)$-Catalan state. Since every vertical line cuts C less than m times, we observe that it must cut each vertical line no more then $m-2$ times. It follows that $((m-1)+n)$-Catalan state $C' \in \mathfrak{Cat}_{m-1,n}$ obtained from $\widetilde{C}$ by removing its top (see Figure 2.4) cuts all vertical separating lines no more than $m-1$ times. In particular, Catalan state C' satisfies conditions $H(m-1,n)$ and $V(m-1,n)$ and one may apply induction hypothesis for the state C'. Therefore, there is a Kauffman state s' of $L(m-1,n)$ such that $K(s') = C'$. In order to complete our construction, we take for s the state obtained by putting markers according to state s_1 in the first row of $L(m,n)$ and putting markers according to s' in its remaining $(m-1)$ rows. For the choice of markers determined by s, we clearly have $K(s) \approx C$. ■

Proof of Lemma 2.6 also completes our proof of Theorem 2.5. ■

3 Coefficients of Realizable Catalan States with No Returns in $RKBSM$

In this section we prove result concerning coefficients of realizable Catalan states for $L(m,n)$ for the case of Catalan states with no returns. This result was proved in 1992 by J. Hoste and J. H. Przytycki[2] while they were working on the Kauffman bracket skein module of the Whitehead type manifolds.

Theorem 3.1 *In $RKBSM$ of $D^2 \times I$ with $2(m+n)$ points we have*

$$L(m,n) = \sum_{k=0}^{m} P_{k,m-k,h} T_{k,\,m-k,\,h} + Remainder,$$

where $P_{k,m-k,h} = A^{nm-2(k+h)k} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{A^{-4}}$; $T_{k,m-k,h}$ is the Catalan state with $2k$ positive diagonal arcs, $2(m-k)$ negative diagonal arcs and $h = n-m \geq 0$ vertical arcs (see Figure 3.1); and the remainder *is a polynomial (over $\mathbb{Z}\left[A^{\pm 1}\right]$) in variables consisting of realizable Catalan states that have at least one return.*[3]

[2]Authors of [3] didn't publish this result. We were informed by Mustafa Hajij that a related formula was noted by S. Yamada [9],[2].

[3]It is worth to observe that if we decorate lattice crossing $L(m,n)$ by Jones-Wenzl idempotents f_m and f_n (respectively) then reminder is 0 since $f_k e_i = 0 = e_i f_k$ for any i and k.



Figure 3.1

Before we give a proof of Theorem 3.1, we need to recall the notation q-analogue of an integer m and q-analogue of binomial coefficient. A q-analogue of an integer m is defined as follows $[m]_q = 1 + q + q^2 + ... + q^{m-1}$, and if $[m]_q! = [1]_q \cdot [2]_q \cdot ... \cdot [m]_q$ then q-analogue of binomial coefficient is defined by

$$\begin{bmatrix} m \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = \frac{[m]_q!}{[k]_q! \cdot [m-k]_q}$$

One shows using the following identity for q-analogue of binomial coefficient

$$\begin{bmatrix} m \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = \begin{bmatrix} m-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q + q^{m-k} \begin{bmatrix} m-1 \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix}_q$$

and induction that $\begin{bmatrix} m \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$.

Proof. Applying Kauffman bracket skein relation (see Figure 1.3) for the crossing in top left corner of $L(m,n)$ (see Figure 3.2) we obtain the following recursive formula $P_{k,m-k,h} = A^{(m+n)-1} P_{k,m-k-1,h} + A^{1-(m+n)} P_{k-1,m-k,h}$:



Figure 3.2

Now, we let $P_{m,0,h} = A^{-mn}$ and $P_{0,m,h} = A^{mn}$. One verifies that $P_{k,m-k,h} = A^{mn-2(k+h)k} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{A^{-4}}$ satisfies the above recurrence[4]. Namely, we have by the property of q-analogue of binomial coefficient

[4]For the initial conditions we check:
$P_{m,0,h} = A^{mn-2(m+h)m} = A^{mn-2(m+n-m)m} = A^{-mn}$, and $P_{0,m,h} = A^{mn-0} = A^{mn}$.

with $q = A^{-4}$:

$$\begin{aligned} P_{k,m-k,h} &= A^{mn-2(k+h)k}\begin{bmatrix} m \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{A^{-4}} = A^{mn-2(k+h)k}\begin{bmatrix} m-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{A^{-4}} + A^{mn-2(k+h)k}A^{-4(m-k)}\begin{bmatrix} m-1 \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix}_{A^{-4}} \\ &= A^{(m+n)-1}A^{1-m-n+mn-2(k+h)k}\begin{bmatrix} m-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{A^{-4}} + A^{1-(m+n)}A^{m+n-1+mn-2(k+h)k-4(m-k)}\begin{bmatrix} m-1 \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix}_{A^{-4}} \\ &= A^{(m+n)-1}A^{(m-1)(n-1)-2(k+h)k}\begin{bmatrix} m-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{A^{-4}} + A^{1-(m+n)}A^{(m-1)(n-1)+2((k-1)+h)(k-1)}\begin{bmatrix} m-1 \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix}_{A^{-4}} \\ &= A^{(m+n)-1}P_{k,m-k-1,h} + A^{1-(m+n)}P_{k-1,m-k,h} \end{aligned}$$

as needed. ■

Remark 3.2 *One of the goals for future research is to find a "*relatively good*" formula for coefficients of all realizable Catalan states of $L(m,n)$ in RKBSM of $D^2 \times I$ with $2(m+n)$ points. In particular, Theorem 3.1 gives an explicit formula for all coefficients of Catalan states with no returns. A natural generalization of this family Catalan states would be families of Catalan states with three, two, or just one side that has no return. As we proved in Lemma 2.2 even if only one side (left or right) of the Catalan state has no return then any horizontal separating line can cut such a Catalan state in at most n points.*

4 Number of Realizable Catalan States by $L(m,n)$

In this section, we find a closed formula for $\left|\mathfrak{Cat}^R_{m,n}\right|$ – the number of Catalan states that could be obtained as Kauffman states of $L(m,n)$, or alternatively, we find number of elements of the set $\mathfrak{F}_{m,n} = \mathfrak{Cat}_{m,n} \backslash \mathfrak{Cat}^R_{m,n}$ of all *Forbidden Catalan states.* As we showed in Theorem 2.5, a Catalan state $C \in \mathfrak{Cat}_{m,n}$ is realizable if and only if C satisfies conditions $H(m,n)$ and $V(m,n)$. Therefore, every forbidden state C must intersects at least one of the horizontal or vertical lines more than n or m times respectively. Let $\mathbf{T}^h(m,n)$ and $\mathbf{T}^v(m,n)$ denote sets of Catalan states that are excluded by horizontal and vertical condition respectively. More precisely, we have for the lines in Figure 1.2 :

$$\mathbf{T}^h(m,n) = \left\{C \in \mathfrak{Cat}_{m,n} \mid d^h(C) > n\right\} \text{ and } \mathbf{T}^v(m,n) = \{C \in \mathfrak{Cat}_{m,n} \mid d^v(C) > m\}$$

We call them respectively *horizontally excluded* and *vertically excluded Catalan states.* Clearly, we see that

$$\mathbf{T}^h(m,n) \cup \mathbf{T}^v(m,n) = \mathfrak{F}_{m,n}.$$

However, more is true, i.e. $\mathbf{T}^h(m,n) \cap \mathbf{T}^v(m,n) = \emptyset$, which is a consequence of the following proposition.

Proposition 4.1 *Let S be a circle with an even number of points $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4$ and consider a pair of lines intersecting at one point and splitting S into sectors A_i with a_i points each sectors, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ (see Figure 4.1). Let C be a Catalan state and $m(C)$ be the number of intersections of C with the pair of lines.*

(i) *If points from sectors A_2 and A_4 are not connected in C, then $m(C) \leq a_1 + a_3$.*

(ii) *If points from sectors A_1 and A_3 are not connected in C, then $m(C) \leq a_2 + a_4$.*

(iii) *For any C, $m(C) \leq \min\{a_1 + a_3,\, a_2 + a_4\}$.*

Proof. We observe that in a Catalan state C we cannot have points from A_1 connected with points from A_3, and points from A_2 connected with points from A_4 at the same time. For **(i)**, we notice that since points from sectors A_2 and A_4 are not connected in C, if u is the number of connections in C between points from sectors A_1 and A_3 then these connections intersect pair of lines at $2u$ points and involve $2u$

points from sectors A_1 and A_3 in total. Consequently, there is a total of a_1+a_3-2u points left in sectors A_1 and A_3 that may form connections intersecting the pair of lines at most once each. It follows that total number of intersections of Catalan state C with the pair of lines is bounded above by a_1+a_3.

Proof of (**ii**) follows by changing the roles of A_1, A_3 and A_2, A_4, and statement (**iii**) is a direct consequence of (**i**) and (**ii**). ■



Figure 4.1

Proposition 4.1 has the following important consequence.

Corollary 4.2 *Horizontally and vertically excluded Catalan states are disjoint.*

Proof. For a pair of lines consisting of a vertical and a horizontal line as in Figure 1.2, we see that $a_1+a_3=a_2+a_4=m+n$. Applying result of Proposition 4.1 for such a pair of lines, for any Catalan state C, we have $m(C)\leq m+n$. Therefore, if the horizontal line intersects C more then n times then the vertical line must intersect C less than m times. Analogously, if the vertical line intersects C more then m times then the horizontal line must intersect C less than n times. ■

As a consequence of Corollary 4.2 and Theorem 2.5, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 4.3 *Let $T^h(m,n)$ and $T^v(m,n)$ be the number of horizontally and vertically excluded Catalan states. The number of Forbidden Catalan states equals $T^h(m,n)+T^v(m,n)$.*

It is worth to note that following relation between numbers $T^h(m,n)$ and $T^v(m,n)$ holds

$$T^h(m,n)=T^v(n,m).$$

Consequently, from now on, we will consider only $T^h(m,n)$.

In order to find $T^h(m,n)$, we start by constructing bijection between the set $\mathfrak{Cat}_{m,n}$ and the set of Dyck paths $\mathfrak{D}_{m,n}$ of semilength $m+n$ from $(0,0)$ to $(m+n,\ m+n)$ that never pass below the line $y=x$ and consists only the vertical steps $V=(0,1)$ (*ascents*) and horizontal steps $H=(1,0)$ (*descents*) as it is shown in Figure 4.2.

Consider $2(m+n)+1$ lines $l_0, l_1, ..., l_{2(m+n)}$ as in Figure 4.3. Any Catalan state $C\in\mathfrak{Cat}_{m,n}$ uniquely determines the minimal number of intersections $n_j(C)=\#l_j\cap C$ of C with each line l_j, $0\leq j\leq 2(m+n)$ and let

$$r_j(C)=n_j(C)-n_{j-1}(C),\ j=1,2,...,2(m+n).$$

Lemma 4.4 *For all $j=1,2,...,2(m+n)$, we have $r_j(C)=\pm 1$.*



Figure 4.2



Figure 4.3



Figure 4.4a)



Figure 4.4b)



Figure 4.4c)



Figure 4.4d)

Proof. We observe that for any three successive lines l_{2j}, l_{2j+1}, and l_{2j+2}, where $j = 1, 2, ..., (m+n)-2$, we have 4 possibilities (see Figure 4.4a) $-$ d)) for the intersections of C with lines l_{2j}, l_{2j+1}, and l_{2j+2} :

For instance, in the second case shown in Figure 4.4b), we have

$$\begin{aligned} n_{2j}(C) &= k,\ n_{2j+1}(C) = k+1,\ \text{and } n_{2j+2}(C) = k,\ \text{thus} \\ r_{2j+1}(C) &= 1,\ \text{and } r_{2j+2}(C) = -1. \end{aligned}$$

Analogously, for the remaining cases shown in Figure 4.4a), Figure 4.4c), and Figure 4.4d). Therefore, for all $j = 3, 4, ..., 2(m+n)-2$, we have $r_j(C) = \pm 1$.

For the remaining lines l_0, l_1, l_2, and $l_{2(m+n-1)}$, $l_{2(m+n)-1}$, $l_{2(m+n)}$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} n_0(C) &= n_{2(m+n)}(C) = 0,\ n_1(C) = n_{2(m+n)-1}(C) = 1,\ \text{and} \\ n_2(C) &= 0,\ 2;\ n_{2(m+n-1)}(C) = 0,\ 2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have $r_1(C) = 1$, $r_2(C) = -1$ or $r_2(C) = 1$ and analogously $r_{2(m+n)-1}(C) = 1$ or $r_{2(m+n)-1}(C) = -1$, $r_{2(m+n)}(C) = -1$. Hence, we have $r_j(C) = \pm 1$, for all $j = 1, 2, ..., 2(m+n)$ as we claimed. ■

Now, let $C \in \mathfrak{Cat}_{m,n}$ and let

$$a_j(C) = \begin{cases} H & \text{if} \quad r_j(C) = -1 \\ V & \text{if} \quad r_j(C) = 1 \end{cases},\ j = 1, 2, ..., 2(m+n)$$

We define a lattice path $\mathbf{p}(C)$ by putting

$$\mathbf{p}(C) = a_1(C)\, a_2(C) \ldots a_{2(m+n)}(C).$$

Clearly, for any $C \in \mathfrak{Cat}_{m,n}$, lattice path $\mathbf{p}(C)$ of semilength $m+n$ from $(0,0)$ to $(m+n,\ m+n)$ never pass below the line $y=x$, thus $\mathbf{p}(C)$ is a Dyck path. Furthermore, we have the following result.

Proposition 4.5 *The map* $\psi : \mathfrak{Cat}_{m,n} \to \mathfrak{D}_{m,n}$ *given by*

$$\psi(C) = \mathbf{p}(C)$$

is a bijection.

Proof. It suffices to show that $\psi : \mathfrak{Cat}_{m,n} \to \mathfrak{D}_{m,n}$ is injective. Let C_1, $C_2 \in \mathfrak{Cat}_{m+n}$ and suppose that

$$\psi(C_1) = \mathbf{p}(C_1) = \mathbf{p}(C_2) = \psi(C_2).$$

therefore, for every $j = 1, 2, ..., 2(m+n)$, $a_j(C_1) = a_j(C_2)$, that is

$$r_j(C_1) = n_j(C_1) - n_{j-1}(C_1) = n_j(C_2) - n_{j-1}(C_2) = r_j(C_2)$$

Since $n_0(C_1) = n_0(C_2)$, and $r_1(C_1) = r_1(C_2)$, we have $n_1(C_1) = n_1(C_2)$. By induction, we have

$$n_j(C_1) = n_j(C_2),\ j = 1, 2, ..., 2(m+n).$$

It follows that C_1 and C_2 have identical minimal number of intersections with all lines l_0, l_1, ..., $l_{2(m+n)}$, hence $C_1 = C_2$. ■

Following the notations used in [5] (see pp. 9 and Lemma $4A$, pp. 12), let $L(m,\ n;\ t)$ denote the set of paths from $(0,\ 0)$ to $(m,\ n)$ not touching the line $y = x - t$, where $t > 0$, and let $L(m,\ n;\ t,\ s)$ denote the set of paths from $(0,0)$ to (m,n) not touching the lines

$$y = x - t \text{ and } y = x + s,$$

where $t,\ s > 0$. As it was shown in [5][5], we have

$$\begin{aligned} |L(m,n;t)| &= \binom{m+n}{n} - \binom{m+n}{m-t}, \text{ and} \\ |L(m,n;t,s)| &= \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \left[\binom{m+n}{m-k(t+s)}_+ - \binom{m+n}{m+k(t+s)+t}_+ \right], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\binom{y}{z}_+ = \begin{cases} \binom{y}{z} & if \quad y \geq z \geq 0 \\ 0 & if \quad y < 0 \text{ or } y < z \end{cases}$$

Theorem 4.6 *The number* $T^h(m,n)$ *of horizontally excluded Catalan states* (*see also Figure* 4.6) *is given by*

$$T^h(m,n) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left[\binom{2m+2n}{m-i(n+3)-2} - 2\binom{2m+2n}{m-i(n+3)-3} + \binom{2m+2n}{m-i(n+3)-4} \right]$$

Before we prove Theorem 4.6, we note that if $i = 2j$, lines l_i, l_{i+1} and l_{i+2} may intersect a Catalan state C satisfying horizontal line condition $H(m,n)$ in the pattern: $n_i(C) = n$, $n_{i+1}(C) = n+1$ and $n_{i+2}(C) = n$ (see Figure 4.5).
Recall, for a lattice path $\mathbf{p} = a_1 a_2 ... a_{2k}$ that starts from $(0,0)$, one defines

$$\begin{aligned} H_j(\mathbf{p}) &= \#\{a_i \mid a_i = H,\ i = 1,\ 2,\ ...,\ j\} \text{ - number of horizontal steps} \\ V_j(\mathbf{p}) &= \#\{a_i \mid a_i = V,\ i = 1,\ 2,\ ...,\ j\} \text{ - number of vertical steps} \end{aligned}$$

[5]Solution to the restricted ballot problem with two boundary conditions, including very interesting historical comment of Kelvin and Maxwell's *method of images,* is given in the classic book by W.Feller [1]; see also [4], [8].



Figure 4.5



Figure 4.6

where $1 \leq j \leq k$, and

$$S_j(\mathbf{p}) = V_j(\mathbf{p}) - H_j(\mathbf{p}), \ 1 \leq j \leq k.$$

Obviously, path $\mathbf{p}(C)$, for any $j = 1, 2, ..., 2(m+n)$, we have:

$$S_j(\mathbf{p}(C)) = V_j(\mathbf{p}) - H_j(\mathbf{p}) = \sum_{i=1}^{j} r_i(C) = n_j(C) \geq 0.$$

Proof. Using bijection $\psi : \mathfrak{Cat}_{m,n} \to \mathfrak{D}_{m,n}$ from Proposition 4.5, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} T^h(m,n) &= \left|\mathbf{T}^h(m,n)\right| = \left|\{C \in \mathfrak{Cat}_{m,n} \mid S_j(\mathbf{p}(C)) > n+1, \text{ for some } 0 \leq j \leq 2(m+n)\}\right| \\ &= \left|\mathfrak{D}_{m,n}\right| - \left|\{\mathbf{p} \in \mathfrak{D}_{m,n} \mid 1 \leq S_j(\mathbf{p}) \leq n+1, \text{ for all } 0 \leq j \leq 2(m+n)\}\right| \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\left|\mathfrak{D}_{m,n}\right| = \left|L(m+n,\ m+n;\ 1)\right|$$

and

$$\left|\{\mathbf{p} \in \mathfrak{D}_{m,n} \mid 1 \leq S_j(\mathbf{p}) \leq n+1, \text{ for all } 0 \leq j \leq 2(m+n)\}\right| = \left|L(m+n, m+n; 1, n+2)\right|$$

After standard algebraic simplifications, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T^h(m,n) &= \left|L(m+n, m+n;\ 1)\right| - \left|L(m+n, m+n;\ 1,\ n+2)\right| \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\left[\binom{2m+2n}{m-i(n+3)-2} - 2\binom{2m+2n}{m-i(n+3)-3} + \binom{2m+2n}{m-i(n+3)-4}\right]. \end{aligned}$$

This finishes our proof. ■

Corollary 4.7 *The number of all realizable Catalan states by $m \times n$ lattice of crossings $T_{(m,n)}$ is given by*

$$\left|\mathfrak{Cat}^R_{m,n}\right| = \frac{1}{m+n+1}\binom{2(m+n)}{m+n} - T^h(m,n) - T^h(n,m).$$

In particular, for $m = n$,

$$\left|\mathfrak{Cat}^R_{n,n}\right| = \frac{1}{2n+1}\binom{4n}{2n} - 2\left(\binom{4n}{n-2} - 2\binom{4n}{n-3} + \binom{4n}{n-4}\right)$$

5 Acknowledgments

J. H. Przytycki was partially supported by the NSA-AMS 091111 grant, and by the GWU REF grant. Authors would like to thank Ivan Dynnikov and Krzysztof Putyra for many useful computations/discussions.

References

[1] W. Feller, *An introduction to Probability theory and its applications*, Wiley; 3rd edition (1968).

[2] M. Hajij, The colored Kauffman skein relation and the head and tail of the colored Jones polynomial, E-print: `arXiv:1401.4537 [math.GT]`

[3] J. Hoste, J. H. Przytycki, The skein module of genus 1 Whitehead type manifolds, *Journal of Knot Theory and Its Ramifications*, **4**(3), 1995, pp. 411-427.

[4] S. G. Mohanty, *Lattice path counting and applications*, *Probability and Mathematical Statistics*, A series of Monographs and Textbooks, Academic Press, 1979.

[5] T. V. Narayana, *Lattice path combinatorics with statistical applications*, University of Toronto Press, 1979.

[6] J. H. Przytycki, Skein modules of 3-manifolds, *Bull. Ac. Pol.*: *Math.*, **39**(1-2), 1991, pp. 91-100. E-print: `arXiv:math/0611797 [math.GT]`

[7] J. H. Przytycki, Fundamentals of Kauffman bracket skein modules, *Kobe Math. J.*, **16**(1), 1999, pp. 45-66. E-print: `arXiv:math/9809113 [math.GT]`

[8] L. Takács, *Combinatorial Methods in the Theory of Stochastic Processes*, Wiley Series in Probability and Mathematical Statistics, 1967.

[9] S. Yamada, An invariant of spatial graphs, *J. Graph Theory* **13**(5), 1989, pp. 537-551.

Mieczyslaw K. Dabkowski
Department of Mathematical Sciences
University of Texas at Dallas
Richardson TX, 75080
e-mail: `mdab@utdallas.edu`

Changsong Li
Department of Mathematical Sciences
University of Texas at Dallas
Richardson TX, 75080
e-mail: `changsong.li@utdallas.edu`

Jozef H. Przytycki
Department of Mathematics
The George Washington University
Washington, DC 20052
e-mail: `przytyck@gwu.edu`
University of Maryland College Park,
and University of Gdańsk